

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/712,588	11/12/2003	Kevin W.R. Crispin	070601-0026	8985
	31824 MCDEDMOT	7590 07/01/2009		EXAMINER	
	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 18191 VON KARMAN AVE.			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
	SUITE 500 IRVINE, CA 9	2612-7108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	11(11(2, 011)	,		1797	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				07/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

10/712,588 CRISPIN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Lyle A. Alexander 1797 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lyle A. Alexander. (3)Ms. Laub. (2) Mr. Chun. (4)_____ Date of Interview: 08 June 2009. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: all. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

/Lyle A Alexander/

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' argued the cited prior art fails to teach the claimed colorimetric detector and optical reader. Further amendments were discussed to further distinguish the instant claims from the cited prior art by claiming a "second optical detector", a "second comparator" and additional input nodes. The Office will have to review the arguments and proposed amendments in light of the cited prior art and update the search. However, it appears these arguments and proposed amendments will overcome the art of record.